



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/997,113	11/28/2001	Mark Sheplak	21011.0041U2	3632	
30448 75	590 11/16/2005		EXAM	INER	
AKERMAN SENTERFITT			GRIER, LAURA A		
P.O. BOX 3188	3				
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2644	2644	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s					
Office Action Summary		09/997,113	SHEPLAK E	SHEPLAK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Laura A. Grier	2644					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS is sons of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS CON 67 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however cation. ory period will apply and will expire SI , by statute, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication. 33).				
Status			·					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	☑ This action is non-final rallowance except for form	nal matters, prosecution as					
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>48-70</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>48-54 and 58-60</u> is/are rejected to Claim(s) <u>55-56, 61-70</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	withdrawn from considerated.						
_	The specification is objected to by the E	Evaminor Evaminor						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be) accepted or b) obje on to the drawing(s) be held in e correction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 drawing(s) is objected to. See	e 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/4/02</u> .	-948) P O/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application ther:	on (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species III (claims 48-70) in reply filed on 9/26/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the distinct species cited in the Election Restriction Requirement are related species among themselves. This is not found persuasive because the species cited in the Election Restriction Requirement based upon the disclosure and drawings of the invention, three different species are clearly disclosed and as well being claimed for microphone structure.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. The applicant indicated claims 1-47 as being cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 48, 50-54, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dozier, II et al., U. S. Patent No. 6669489 in view of Tai et al., Pub. No., US20010033670.

Regarding claim 48, Dozier, II et al. (herein, Dozier) discloses printed circuit board (PCB), wherein a 1st and 2nd surface are characteristics of a PCB, which reads on a printed circuit board; a plurality of sockets, wherein further the sockets enable electronic components, such as

semiconductor packages or the like, to be mounted to the circuit board (abstract, col. 1, lines 28-32, col. 8, lines 21-37, col. 22, lines 18-38), which reads on a plurality of sockets. However, Dozier fails to disclose a plurality of microphone packages, wherein each package is received in the socket and is responsive to an acoustic wave.

Regarding the microphone package(s), Tai et al. (herein, Tai) discloses a thin film electrect microphone. Tai's disclosure comprises a microphone package developed on a etched substrate (constitutes as a PCB), wherein the microphone may be designed with a multiple microphones on a subtrate wafer in a unitary microphone unit (paragraphs 0008, 0010, and 0065-0066), which indicates a plurality of microphone packages.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dozier by providing a plurality of microphone packages for the purpose of improving the design, including and performance quality of a minature microphone as taught by Tai.

Regarding claim 57, Dozier and Tai discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 48). Dozier and Tai (Tai)l to discloses that the microphone package coupled to an amplifier (paragraph 0065). Even though, Dozier and Tai (Tai) fail to disclose a specific distance (smaller than 5 mm) between the microphone and the amplifier, Tai discloses that other dimension of sizes, fabrication parameters, etc. (0067) may be used. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dozier and Tai by implementing a specific distance (smaller than 5 mm) between the microphone and an amplifier for the purpose of optimizing the position of the components as claimed for specific designed purpose and performance.

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding claim 50-54, Dozier and Tai disclose the PCB as a conventional type circuit board, which provides obviousness for the structural characterisitics (support layers, garolite stiffening layer, and the PCB being a double-sided cooper clad) of the printed circuit board, wherein these are of common PCB make-up structure.

4. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dozier and Tai in view of Humphreys, Jr. et al.

Regarding claim 49, Dozier and Tai discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 48). However, Dozier and Tai fail to disclose a laser diode positioned on the 1st surface of the PCB. The use of laser diodes with microphones was well known in the art. In a similar field of endeavor, Humphreys, Jr. et al. (herein, Humphreys) disclose a design and use of microphone directional arrays for aeroacoustic measurements. Humphreys' microphone array includes laser diodes mounted thereto (page 5, 2nd paragraph). It would have obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dozier and Tai by providing a laser diode on the PCB for the alignment purposes as taught by Humphreys.

5. Claim 58-60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dozier and Tai above, and further in view of Criglar et al., U. S. Patent No. 4119007.

Regarding claim 58, Dozier and Tai discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 48). Dozier and Tai fail to disclose the microphone as a piezoresistive microphone.

Regarding the piezoresistive microphone, Criglar et al. (herein, Criglar) discloses a microphone created with piezoresistors on a semiconductor chip (col. 1, lines 62-67), which reads on piezoresistive microphone.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,113 Page 5

Art Unit: 2644

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dozier and Tai by providing the microphone as piezoresistive microphone for the purpose of enhancing the microphone with the well known piezoresistive effects as taught by Criglar (col. 4, lines 3-13).

Regarding claim 59, Dozier and Tai disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 57). Dozier and Tai disclose a semiconductor substrate and amplfier may be position on the substrate. However, Dozier and Tail fail to disclose a microphone, therein as claimed.

Regarding the microphone, Criglar discloses a microphone positioned on a semiconductor chip (substrate) coupled to an amplifier including a 1st capacitor and 1st resistor, and 2nd capacitor and 2nd resistor, therein as claimed and functioning as claimed (figures 9-10 and col. 3, lines 31-54 and col. 3, lines 64-68 and col.4, lines 1-13).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dozier and Tai by providing such a microphone for the purpose achieving the performance taught by Criglar.

Regarding claim 60, Dozier, Tai and Criglar (herein, Dozier combination) disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 59). Dozier combination discloses the microphone comprising Wheatstone bridge configuration, which reads on the microphone with four piezoresistors forming a Wheatstone bridge (figures 9-10).

6. Claims 55-56, and 61-70 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,113

Art Unit: 2644

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Grier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura A Grier

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2644

November 12, 2005